qwertyuiopasdfghjklzxcvbnmqwert
yuiopasdfghjklzycyhnmawortyui
Truth behind
the claim of
hom os exuality and ...

Mojganeh Saffarnia
www.KindFather.com

Preface

Shaitan, the enemy of humankind, has always tried very hard to deceit and take as many humans as possible to Hell with him ...

Let us never forget what Hadrat 'Ali (SBUH) has said: There is no enemy worse than Shaitan and the devils with him, and nothing can hurt you more than they can. Their wish is for you to be with them tomorrow in Hell when they are being tortured and they will be in Hell eternally and the flames of Hell will never be reduced.

Sadly Shaitan has been somewhat successful in his malevolent goal ...

An area where Shaitan has worked very hard in order to reduce humankind to lower than animals, has been s exual matters ...

Through his deceitful temptations he is trying to destroy humankind ...

May humankind realize that the only safe shelter is the Wilayat and obedience of Hadrat Mohammad and his AhlulBayt (SBUH), and may Allah hasten the glorious Appearance of the last of them, Hadrat Mahdi (SBUH) ... AAMEEN ...

With sincere thanks to Narjes Barati and MohammadAli Shamseddin for their assistance.

Mojganeh Saffarnia www.kindfather.com

Index

<u>Chapter 1 – Truth behind the claim of homo s exuality and ...</u>

Part 1

Part 2

Part 3

Chapter 2 – Blessed behavior

Part 1

Part 2

Chapter 1 Truth behind the claim of homo s exuality and ...

Part 1

In recent decades a satanic effort has started in western societies trying to show hom os exuality and ... as a normal behavior. They- thru the deliberate misuse of medical sciences and misinterpretation of religious texts and ...- have tried to conceal the obscenity of such behavior; a behavior which is detested by majority of the world population. In this writing we are going to, briefly, reveal the truth regarding this behavior from the view point of Islam, christianity, judaism and medical sciences.

First of all,, it is vital to mention that Imam Baaqer (SBUH) in a Hadith regarding the people of Sodom- to whom the Prophet Lot (SBUH) was sent-has stated that this obscene and indecent behavior, for the very first time, was taught to the people of Sodom by Iblis (Eblis, Satan) himself. (Thawaab al-A'maal, p. 264; ...)

The Majestic Qur'an explicitly *condemns* such sinners. It is stated in the Surah Sho'ara of the Holy Qur'an that the Prophet Lot (SBUH) addressed his people and said:

Qur'an 26:165 What! Do you come to the males from among the creatures, Qur'an 26:166 and leave what your Lord has created for you of your wives? Nay, you are a transgressive people exceeding limits.

And in general as for those who transgress Allah's limits:

Qur'an 4:13 These are Allah's limits, and whoever obeys Allah and His Messenger, He will cause him to enter Gardens beneath which rivers flow, to abide in them; and this is the grand success.

Qur'an 4:14 And whoever disobeys Allah and His Messenger and goes beyond His limits, He will cause him to enter Fire to abide in it, and he shall have an abasing and humiliating chastisement.

In many Hadiths, including from Hadrat Muhammad (SBUH & HA), it has been stated that the La'n (execration, imprecation ...) of Allah, all angels and all peoples are to be upon such sinners. (Mizaan al-Hekmat, vol. 8, p. 539; ...)

The Ma'soomin (Infallible Ones) -SBUT- such as the Amir al-Mo'menin and Imam Riza (SBUT) have, by the command of Allah, ordained **the death**

penalty for such sinners. (Mostadrak al-Wasaa'el, vol. 18, p. 82; Foroo' al-Kaafi, Ketaab al-Hodood, Baab Had al-Lawaat, Hadith 5; Tahdhib, Ketaab al-Hodood, Had al-Lawaat, H,. 2; Ershaad al-Qoloob, vol. 2, p. 402; ...)

UNDOUBTEDLY BASED ON THE TEACHINGS OF THE MAJID QUR'AN AND HADRAT MOHAMMAD AND AHLULBAYT (SBUT) THIS ACTION IS HARAAM AND IN THE SHI'AH FIQH HARSH PENALTIES SUCH AS DEATH HAVE BEEN ORDAINED FOR SUCH SINNERS.

It is noteworthy to mention that while according to most sunni books this sin is Haraam and death penalty is stated for it, yet in some sunni books there are evidence indicating that many of sunni rulers such as 'Umar ibn Khattabone of the usurpers of the Allah Bestowed Right of Hadrat 'Ali (SBUH)-were committers of this obscene sin; although they themselves used to **hypocritically** punish others who committed this sin! (sunni documents: Tabaqaat al-Kobra, vol. 3, p. 289, Beirut edition 1377 A.H.; ...) Also the sunni ibn Kathir in his al-Bedaaya wal-Nahaaya has written that most sunni rulers and ... were committers of this sinful act ... **This is merely part of the conclusion of usurpers in this world ...**

It has been narrated that a person went to Imam Saadeq (SBUH) and addressed him with the title "AmirulMo'menin"; the Imam (SBUH) became quite upset and stood on his feet and said that indeed this title was only for Hadrat 'Ali (SBUH) and absolutely no one else; then the Imam (SBUH) clarified that no one had ever been pleased to be called with this title unless that person was a committer of this sin! (Tafsir al 'Ayaashi, vol. 1, p. 276; Wasaa'el al-Shi'ah, vol. 14, p. 600; ...) **History verifies that AbuBakr,** 'Umar, 'Uthman, bani-Umayya and bani-Abbaas so called caliphs all were not only pleased to be addressed with this title but demanded it too ...

It is not surprising that those who have been following the sunnah of usurpers- AbuBakr and 'Umar ...- but have been claiming to be the adherents to the Sunnah of the Prophet (SAWWA), have actually turned their backs to the commands of Qur'an and the True Sunnah of the Prophet and the Sunnah of the AhlulBayt (SBUT)- which is the True Sunnah of the Prophet (SBUH & HA)- and thus as a direct result, in many cases they-like the jews before them- have reduced the punishment for this sin to a few whippings, trying to somewhat justify the actions of their lords and their

followers! (sunni documents: Hashiya rad al-Mokhtaar ibn 'Aabedin Hanafi, vol. 4, p. 191; Fataawi al-Saghdi, vol. 1, p. 269; Tabaqaat al-Shaafe'i al-Kobra, vol. 4, p. 45; Sahih al-Bukhari, vol. 6, p. 2512, No. 6456-8; ...)

It is also noteworthy to mention that one of Shaitan's daughters named Laaqees is she who for the first time taught mosaaheqah (the so called female hom os exuality) to women of Sodom, and she arouses women to perform this obscene sin (Safinah al-Bihar, vol. 1, pp. 99-100; ...); in Shi'ah Hadiths Mosaaheqah is considered a very obscene indecency and women performing such indecent act are considered mortified by Allah and no one can get close to them; in Shi'ah Fiqh death penalty is also prescribed for those women performing mosaaheqah. (Ehtejaaj Tabresi, vol. 2, p. 563-580; ...)

The consequences of any deviation from the Pure teachings of Allah Chosen Prophets and Successors (SBUT) shall undoubtedly be entanglement in abominable satanic traps in this world ...

... And as far as the jews and christians are concerned: In both the old testament of the bible- accepted by jews and christians- and the new testament of the bible- accepted by christians-, this behavior has explicitly been condemned and for it, even the death penalty has been ordained. As a sample, let us look at a few statements.

The old testament:

Leviticus 'A: 'Y Thou shalt not lie with mankind, as with womankind: it is abomination.

Leviticus '':' If a man also lie with mankind, as he lieth with a woman, both of them have committed an abomination: they shall surely be put to death; their blood shall be upon them.

Also the story of **destruction of the people of Sodom** has been narrated in Genesis chapter 19, Deuteronomy 29, Jeremiah 50 and

The new testament:

Romans 1: 7° For this cause God gave them up unto vile affections: for even their women did change the natural use into that which is against nature:

1:27 And likewise also the men, leaving the natural use of the woman, burned in their lus t one toward another; men with men working that which is unseemly, and receiving in themselves that recompence of their error which was meet.

Jude \'YEven as Sodom and Gomorrha, and the cities about them in like manner, giving themselves over to fornication, and going after strange flesh*, are set forth for an example, suffering the vengeance of **eternal fire**.

* The statement "going after strange flesh" in the KJV English translation of bible (King James Version) appears as: "going after unnatural lus t" in the King James 2000 Bible; and as: "pursued hom os exual activities" in the ISV bible (International Standard Version); and as: "engaged in hom os exual activities" in the GOD'S WORD® Translation;

1st Corinthians [†]: [¶]Know ye not that **the unrighteous shall not inherit the kingdom of God**? Be not deceived: neither fornicators, nor idolaters, nor adulterers, nor effeminate, nor abusers of themselves with mankind**, [†]: [↑]: Nor thieves, nor covetous, nor drunkards, nor revilers, nor extortioners, shall inherit the kingdom of God.

** This statement in the KJV English translation of the bible: "abusers of themselves with mankind", appears as: "sodomites" in the YLT bible (Young's Literal Translation); and as: "men who practice hom os exuality" in the NIV bible (New International Version);

UNDOUBTEDLY BASED ON THE OLD AND NEW TESTAMENTS OF THE BIBLE AND THE TEACHINGS OF HADRATS MOOSA (MOSES) AND 'EESA (EISA, JESUS) -SBUT- THIS ACTION IS HARAAM AND HARSH PENALTIES SUCH AS DEATH HAVE BEEN ORDAINED FOR SUCH SINNERS.

But many followers of the bible while hypocritically pretending to consider this action as forbidden, they themselves are committing it; for instance the stories of some catholic priests- who following the usurper Paul's commands, do not marry women- are no secret to the young and old.

Lus t-following people either secretly commit such sins, or openly justify their actions and not only they do not prohibit it rather they consider it as a form of love! For instance today some christians- in spite the fact that the bible clearly condemns the action of hom os exuality- are promoting this wicked act and there exist **churches** which openly support such action and

their so called priests and congregation encourage this sin and are committers themselves and proud of it too!

Today one of the means which is being misused to unjustly justify this wicked act is medical science; in the next part of this writing we are going to briefly look at a few medical studies ...

Part 2

Let us briefly investigate a few medical reports in this regard:

Larry Houston has gathered writings from different scientists in a collection called: "Behavior, and Not a Person" and has shown that those who claim to be hom os exuals are actually people **who "choose"** to do this abnormal act. Based on scientific studies he has concluded that **hom os exuality is not a biological and genetic phenomenon** and that those who call themselves hom os exuals are not a so called another gender next to males and females.

In a thought-provoking statement he has said:

... Hom os exuality as a "Sin"; as a "Crime"; as a "Disease" and now today as a "Political Identity". Emphasizing that over time how hom os exuality is viewed has changed. Significant is the fact, that historically though hom os exuality has enjoyed somewhat varying degrees of tolerance, all cultures and societies have never accepted hom os exuality.

Houston draws attentions to a study that was published in 1994 the National Health and Social Life Survey (NHSLS). The results of this study are in a book by Laumann, et al., The Social Organization of S ex: S exual Practices in the United States. This study reported that **only about 2 percent of the male and only about 1% of the female** population identify themselves as doers of such acts.

In a legal brief filed in a June 2003 Supreme Court case in the United States, some of the biggest and most famous pro-hom os exual activists groups used the 2 and 1 percent figures. Houston states that the admission of these figures by these groups in their legal brief is in stark contrast to what has been historically cited in the popular myth that 10% of the population is hom os exual.

The American writer Camille Paglia, in V amps and T ramps, p. 74 states that "The 10 percent figure, servilely repeated by the media, was pure propaganda, and it made me, as a scholar despise g ay activists for their unscrupulous disregard for the truth." Paglia states that the activists' fibs and fabrications continue now about a genetic link to hom os exuality ...

Here is a thought-provoking HIV/AIDS information:

Overall, CDC's (Centers for Disease Control) new incidence estimates continue to show that G ay and bis exual men remain the population most heavily affected by HIV in the United States. CDC estimates **MSM** represent approximately 2% of the US population, but accounted for more than 50% of all new HIV infections annually from 2006 to 2009–56% in 2006 (27,000), 58% in 2007 (32,300), 56% in 2008 (26,900) and 61% (29,300) in 2009 ... In 2009, white MSM continued to account for the largest number of new HIV infections of any group in the U.S. ... HIV/AIDS information has been updated for the years from 2008 to 2011. One transmission category has continued to increase, male to male se xual contact, while all other transmission categories decreased ...

Many scholars believe that this few percent so called hom os exuals are attracted to such abnormal behavior under psychological, social, financial and ... pressures and propaganda. They insist that such abnormal behavior-in contrast to what the media propagate and a few mostly so called hom os exual scholars claim- has no genetic and alike causes.

Kinsey, Pomeroy, & Martin, in Se xual Behavior in the Human Male, p. 639 insist: "Males do not represent two discrete populations, heteros exual and hom os exual." And in page 647 they state: "The characterization of the hom os exual as a third s ex fails to describe any actuality."

Robert Knight in "Science Does Not Support the Claim That Hom os exuality Is Genetic" states:

"Beginning in the early 1990s, activists began arguing that scientific research has proven that hom os exuality has a genetic or hormonal cause. A handful of studies, **none of them replicated and all exposed as methodologically unsound or misrepresented**, have linked s exual orientation to everything from differences in portions of the brain, to genes, and ..."

Meanwhile, Columbia University Professor of Psychiatry Dr. Robert Spitzer, wrote a study published in the October 2003 Archives of S exual Behavior. He contended that people can change their so called "s exual orientation" from hom os exual to heteros exual. Spitzer interviewed more than 200 people, most of whom claimed that **through reparative therapy counseling, their desires for same-s ex partners changed** ... Emphasizing on A considerable body of previous literature about change from hom os exuality to heteros exuality which has been compiled, he **gave a warning to**

media and researchers not to proceed with the "born g ay" theory and declare that science has "proved" that hom os exuality is genetic.

Washington Post reporter Laura Sessions Stepp writes that recent studies among women suggest that female hom os exuality may be grounded more in **social interaction which may present itself as an emotional attraction** ... She cites one such study by Lisa M. Diamond, assistant professor of psychology and gender studies at the University of Utah, who in 1994 began studying a group of females aged 16 to 23 who were attracted to other females. **Over the course of the study, most of them have changed labels**.

In May 2000, the American Psychiatric Association issued a Fact Sheet, which includes this statement: "Currently, there is a renewed interest in searching for biological etiologies for hom os exuality. **However, to date there are no replicated scientific studies supporting any specific biological etiology** for hom os exuality."

In his book Hom os exuality and the Politics of Truth, Dr. Jeffrey Satinover writes: We will see later the falsity of activists' repeated assertions that hom os exuality is immutable. They seek to create the impression that science has settled these questions, but it most certainly has not. Instead, the changes that have occurred in both public and professional opinion have resulted from politics, pressure, and public relations.

Despite critical examination, as well as comments by the studies' own authors that the "g ay" research has been distorted or exaggerated, some of the studies are often cited as "proof" that "g ays are born that way." A few **other studies have arisen in more recent years with as many flaws or have been misreported** in similar fashion. Here is a brief overview of some of the studies:

UCLA's Study on Genes and Mice Brains In October 2003, the journal Molecular Brain Research published a study by UCLA researchers indicating that s exual identity is genetic. Reuters reported it this way: "S exual identity is wired into the genes, which discounts the concept that hom os exuality and trans genders exuality are a choice, California researchers reported." A number of other media outlets picked up on this theme, creating the impression that this study was yet one more piece of evidence for a genetic theory of hom os exuality. The trouble is, the study doesn't say anything about hom os exuality. All it does is support a widely accepted

theory about hormones and gender. Here is Princeton Professor Dr. Jeffrey Satinover's assessment: The research is a decent piece of basic science and confirms what geneticists have long known must be the case: That the hormonal milieu that causes s exual differentiation between males and females is itself determined by genes, in mice as in men. This comes as no surprise. But this research says absolutely nothing about hom os exuality or transs exualism and any who claim it does are either ill-informed about genetics, or if not, are deliberately abusing their scientific knowledge and or credentials in the service of politics – in precisely the same way that Soviet-era geneticists such as Lysenko did – either in the naïve hope that distortion of the truth can produce a better society or out of fear for their career prospects. In either case they should be roundly rebuked for doing so.

The first widely publicized claim for a "g ay gene" came in 1991 when Salk Institute researcher Dr. Simon LeVay published a study in the journal Science noting a difference in a brain structure called the hypothalamus when evaluating 35 men – 19 hom os exuals and 16 heteros exuals. LeVay found that the hypothalamus was generally larger in heteros exual men than in hom os exual men. He concluded that the findings "suggest that s exual orientation has a biologic substrate." The media splashed the study on front pages and TV and radio broadcasts from coast to coast, despite the fact that LeVay himself cautioned: "It's important to stress what I didn't find. I did not prove that hom os exuality is genetic, or find a genetic cause for being g ay. I didn't show that g ay men are born that way, the most common mistake people make in interpreting my work. Nor did I locate a g ay center in the brain. ...Since I looked at adult brains, we don't know if the differences I found were there at birth, or if they appeared later."

The study also **had major problems**, which LeVay himself readily admits. First, all 19 of his Hom os exual subjects died of complications associated with AIDS. The difference in the hypothalamus might have been caused **by chemical changes in the brain as a response to AIDS**.

Dr. Byne argued in Scientific American that "[LeVay's] inclusion of a few brains from heteros exual men with AIDS did not adequately address the fact that at the time of death virtually all men with AIDS have decreased testosterone levels as the result of the disease itself or the side effects of particular treatments. ... Thus it is possible that the effects on the size of

the INAH3 [hypothalamus] that he attributed to s exual orientation were actually caused by the hormonal abnormalities associated with AIDS."

In addition, six of the "heteros exual" men died of AIDS. LeVay admitted later that he didn't actually know whether the subjects in his heteros exual sample were, indeed, heteros exual; all of these subjects were simply "presumed heteros exual." Given that very few straight men in San Francisco were contracting AIDS at the time (and still aren't), this was a wildly unscientific assumption.

LeVay is an open hom os exual, and some comments he made to Newsweek suggest he had an agenda from the outset of the research. He said he believes that America must be convinced that hom os exuality is biologically determined [whether it is scientifically proven or not!]. "It's important to educate society," he said. "I think this issue does affect religious and legal attitudes."

University of California at Berkeley psychologist Marc Breedlove released a study that showed that **s exual activities of rats actually changed structural aspects of the brain at the base of the spinal chord.** Breedlove said: These findings give us proof for what we theoretically know to be the case—that **s exual experience can alter the structure of the brain**, just as genes can alter it. **You can't assume that because you find a structural difference in the brain, that it was caused by genes.** You don't know how it got there.

LET US KEEP THIS IN MIND THAT IN ADDITION TO GENES, "S
EXUAL ACTIVITIES", AND ALSO AS MENTIONED
BEFORE, MANY OTHER FACTORS SUCH AS DRUGS AND
OTHER TREATMENTS CAN CHANGE THE STRUCTURE
OF BRAIN AND THE STRUCTURE OF OTHER BODY PARTS
FOR THAT MATTER, AND EVEN CHANGE THE
HORMONES WHICH IN TURN CAN AFFECT THE
FUNCTIONS OF ORGANS AND TISSUES, AND ...;
THEREFORE SCIENTISTS EMPHASISE ON THE FACT
THAT CHANGES DO NOT NECESSARILY STEM FROM
GENES.

part 3

...Another study which won an enormous amount of media attention was Dr. Hamer's study. Dr. Hamer's **own activities as a hom os exual activist** within NCI were **ignored** when Hamer offered interviews only when reporters agreed not to identify him as a hom os exual.

But even Hamer later **tempered** his enthusiasm about the research results. In a **later** interview, Hamer said, "... **There is not a single master gene that makes people g ay**. ...I don't think we will ever be able to predict who will be g ay."

Hamer's results are often misunderstood. Many believe that the study found an identical sequence (Xq28) on the X chromosome of all hom os exual brothers in the study. In reality, what it found was matching sequences in each set of brothers who were both hom os exual. Dr. Byne argues that in order to prove anything by this study, Hamer would have had to examine the Xq28 sequence of hom os exual men's heteros exual brothers. Hamer insisted that such an inclusion would have confounded his study. Byne responds: "In other words, inclusion of heteros exual brothers might have revealed that something other than genes is responsible for s exual orientation."

The National Cancer Institute sponsored the "g ay gene" research. This study alone cost \$419,000 of the institute's taxpayer-backed funds, according to The Washington Times. The National Institutes of Health's Office of Research Integrity investigated Hamer over allegations by a colleague that he ignored evidence that conflicted with his hypothesis. NIH never released the results of the inquiry ...

Another fact that casts doubt on Hamer's conclusions is that other researchers tried to replicate his study but failed. In 1999, Drs. George Rice, Neil Risch and George Ebers published their findings in Science after attempting to replicate Hamer's Xq28 study. Their conclusion: "We were not able to confirm evidence for an Xq28-linked locus underlying male hom os exuality." Moreover, they added that when another group of researchers (Sanders, et al.) tried to replicate Hamer's study, they too failed to find a genetic connection to hom os exuality.

... What we have presented in this writing have only been samples of so called scientific researches which have gone under question by other scientists and have been found to be methodologically unsound or misrepresented or ..., yet they have been propagated, by the media and the lords of the media, by some irresponsible or ignorant or ... physicians, Psychiatrists and psychologists, and ..., as scientific, and have been presented to the entire world as proof! ... And sadly this irresponsibility and malevolence and ... is not only destroying the regular people in the so called developed countries, but it is also, slowly but surely, devastating some of the people of the so called less developed countries who blindly assume the scientific superiority and honesty of the so called scientists of the so called developed countries ...!!!

Let us all not forget:

Qur'an 17:36 And follow not that of which you have not the knowledge; surely the hearing and the sight and the heart, all of these, shall be responsible and questioned (on the Day of Reckoning) in regard to that (which you followed without having knowledge).

Qur'an 74:38 Every soul will be (held) in pledge for what it earned (its deeds).

Another issue to keep in mind is the **rare** forms of s exual disabilities. For instance:

"Inters ex, in humans ... is a variation in s ex characteristics including chromosomes, g onads, or g enitals that do not allow an individual to be distinctly identified as male or female." (Money, John; Ehrhardt, Anke A. (1972). Man & Woman Boy & Girl. The Johns Hopkins University Press. As cited in the English Wikipedia)

This means for instance an infant born with a boy's g enitalia on the outside but also with uterus and ovaries inside, or an infant born with incomplete or unusual development of reproductive organs.

This disability is quite **rare**:

According to Leonard Sax an American psychologist and a practicing family physician, "inters ex should be "restricted to those conditions in which

chromosomal s ex is inconsistent with phenotypic s ex, or in which the phenotype is not classifiable as either male or female", around **0.018%."** (Sax, Leonard (2002). "How common is inters ex? a response to Anne Fausto-Sterling." Journal of S ex Research 39 (3): 174–178. As cited in the English Wikipedia)

But those who are given titles such as transg endered or transs exual are physically normal people who claim to have a dysphoria regarding their s ex. This means for instance a person is a normal female but likes to be a male. Such a person is physically normal but mentally confused. These types of confusions have roots in families, societies and Of course temptations of Shaytaan are the main roots. Sadly, in addition to the international media, some so called physicians, Psychiatrists and psychologists also fan the flames and add to the confusions of such young people by, for instance, pointing out certain *ambiguous* signs or conditions, such as hormone imbalance, a condition which according to scientists- with all the hormones present in meat, milk, vegetables, supplements eaten by humans and ...- is quite common in many men and women!

Let us look at a definition by Council of Europe, Parliamentary Assembly, Report Doc. 13297, 6 September 2013, regarding this issue:"... Transs exuality, a phenomenon where someone has an evident s ex, but feels as if he or she belongs to the other s ex and is therefore ready to undergo a medical intervention altering his or her natural s ex."

In spite such definitions the "so called" developed and free world has been in the process of legalizing such medical interventions. This means a physically normal man- because he likes to do so- can take female hormones to reduce unwanted hair and ..., and undergo mainly cosmetic and painful surgeries to look like a woman!!! Let us pay attention that in such surgeries the s ex of the person is absolutely not changed, rather his s exual organs on the outside are removed and using tissues, fat, skin and ... from elsewhere in the body breasts are constructed and ...; i.e. the man does not become a woman rather just look like a, usually unattractive, woman!!! And as for woman to man, it is the same, i.e. male hormones are taken to achieve menopause which increases the risk of many forms of cancer; then female organs are removed and series of reconstructive cosmetic surgeries are performed and devices are implanted to try to make the woman look like a, usually unattractive, man. I.e. the s ex and chromosomes of the person are absolutely not

changed and the woman-having lost her womanhood- does not become a man at all ... (From the English Wikipedia)

And since such people are mentally confused, many of them commit suicide, a staggering 41 percent of transg ender people in the United States have attempted to commit suicide, according to a new survey released on October 2010; and many of them after their series of painful and major cosmetic surgeries **become regretful** ... but alas! A person who has lost his/her s exual and reproductive organs can at the most do some more cosmetic surgeries but can never go back to his/her normal s ex ...

Walt Heyer the American author of Paper G enders, who himself has gone through regret and reversal surgery, says: "I've been reporting about the widespread regret for years while everyone in the media says regret is rare. The Belgrade Center for Genital Reconstructive Surgery says that they have received 1,500 requests for reversal surgery. If s ex change regret is so rare, why are so many requesting reversal surgery? If s ex change regret is so rare, why are surgeons offering reversal surgery? Of course the answer is: s ex change regret is not rare at all."

Many scientists such as Marc S. Dillworth, Ph.D.- who has advanced degrees in clinical psychology and is a state licensed mental health provider in Florida, USA, and has had a private practice for over 30 years, and was a member of the Board of Governors for the National Association for Research and Treatment of Homos exuality [NARTH] and continues to be a clinical member, and is currently the Coordinator of the Hope for Wholeness professional counselor network, and is a current member of the American Association for Marriage and Family Therapy, and is a member of the American Psychological Association-has worked with people all over the world, via office, telephone, Skype and ... with unwanted homos exuality or transg enderism. He successfully practices reparative therapy to help these people recover from their mental confusion.

While normal pleasures are prescribed by Allah, any abnormal deviation is satanic temptation. Let us always keep this profound Hadith from Hadrat 'Ali (SBUH) in our minds and hearts:

... He whose intellect prevails over his l ust is superior to angels but he whose l ust prevails over his intellect is inferior to animals. (Wasaa'el al-Shi'ah, chapter on Jihad of soul; ...)

With all this in mind, it is important to realize that the issue of "true" s exual disabilities is quite different from the issue of the so called hom os exuality, transg enderism and ...; but unfortunately in many cases the so called hom os exuals and ...- emotionally, physically and ...- abuse people with such disabilities. It must be kept in mind that such disabilities- like any other disability- are often resulted from many different factors such as disease, accident, self and previous generations' ignorance regarding **hygienics** and ..., atomic radiation, pollution, genes and ..., and surely all disabilities do cause certain limitations for the disabled person. In any case under no circumstance, no other relationship except the normal relationship between a male husband and a female wife is permitted by God and nothing can justify such abnormal, forbidden and satanic acts.

May the Compassionate and Merciful Allah in the names and Allahbestowed rights of Hadrat Mohammad and the AhlulBayt (SBUT) bestow patience, endow with abundant reward, and Inshaa'Allah provide the means and grant healing to all those afflicted with disease and disability ... Aameen.

We must all be careful and keep our eyes open and should not quickly accept whatever that is presented to us as scientific research even when it is originated from the so called developed world for as we have seen, many such so called researches are from spiteful, unbelieving, corrupt, immoral and ... so called jew, christian and ... scientists with political, financial and other worldly motives, and with the most dangerous satanic motive of covering the Divine Truth and obliterating the True Religion of all Prophets (SBUT) i.e. the one and only Islam.

Let all of us- including the physicians, psychologists, Psychiatrists and ... who have become playthings of so called scientists of so called developed countries- not forget that the Majid Qur'an teaches us:

Qur'an 49:6 O you who believe! If an evil-doer comes to you with a report, do verify it, lest you hurt some people in ignorance, then be sorry for what you have done.

Humankind by staying away from the Pure teachings of Prophets and their Chosen Successors (SBUT) run afoul of such satanic miseries

It is also important to keep in mind that as the world runs more and more away from God, more and more such so called researches from spiteful so called scientists, media, governments and ... will be presented and finding the documented truth behind them will become harder and harder ...

In our precious Hadith collections such as Bihar al-Anwar and ... there are Hadith from the Prophet Mohammad (SBUH & HA) in which the Holy Prophet (SBUH & HA) had warned that there would come a day when Ma'roof and Monkar would still be known but people would not command to Ma'roof and forbid from Monkar ... and there would come a day when they would command to Monkar and forbid from Ma'roof ... and there would come a day when Ma'roof would be Monkar, and Monkar would be Ma'roof ...

So let us be extremely careful not to substitute pure Divine values by satanic anti-values; as our beloved Prophet (SBUH & HA) has predicted Ma'roof is becoming Monkar, and Monkar is becoming Ma'roof ... (We cordially invite our friends to read the article "Ma'roof and Monkar" in this E-book.)

Another vital point is that as the direct result of forsaking the Prophets' Successors (Awsiya)- as the upholders of Divine and Prophetic Commands- and thus abandoning the just execution of the ordained punishments for such sins- punishments which still exist even in the distorted bible- the sinners have become shameless and *insolent*, and destructive sins are being prevailed in human societies; sins which today the title sin is being removed from them and a positive and some times even divine face is being painted for them ...!

It is noteworthy to mention that as long as we are still alive it is not too late to repent ...

In the Shi'ah Hadith collections such as Irshad al-Qolub, Foru' Kafi and ... there exist Hadiths like a Narration in which it is stated that a man who had committed this sin went to Amir al-Mo'menin, the Hojjat (Proof) of Allah and the Wasi (Executor and Successor) of the Last Prophet of Allah, (SBUT). Hadrat 'Ali (SBUH) tried to conceal his sin but the man-feeling

extremely guilty- asked for and chose the hardest form of punishment for this sin. Then he requested time so that he might perform two rak'ats of Salaah; after Salaah he fell in prostration and thanked Allah and started to cry wholeheartedly. In his prostration he was Glorifying Allah and telling Him that he was the servant of Allah and the son of His servants and that he was guilty and had committed such and such sin. He continued telling his Lord that he had gone to His Hojjat and His Kaliphah on His land; he said that he had revealed his crime for His Hojjat and His Hojjat had stated the different forms of punishment, and that he had chosen the hardest form. Then he asked Allah to bless Mohammad and his Ahl, and to let the hard punishment be the means for being emancipated from Hell.

While praising the Lord and crying, Amir al-Mo'menin (SBUH) directed his attention toward his companions and told them that if any of them wanted to look at one of the inhabitants of Heaven, to look at that man; then he (SBUH) told that man to rise for his sin was forgiven and the death penalty was lifted from him.

The Imam's companions were wondering why the Imam (SBUH) was praising the Lord for disobeying Allah and not punishing the guilty man. The Imam (SBUH) said:

Hadd (Allah Ordained punishment) is for and up to Allah: that Hadd is upon the Infallible Imam, if he wishes he does the Hadd, and if not he forgives ...

Today the only Hojjat and Khalifah of Allah on His earth is Hadrat Mahdi (SBUH); may we be blessed with repentance and Tawassol (Tawassul) to Hadrat Mahdi and his fathers and his mother Fatemah Zahra (SBUT) and may our sins be forgiven too ...

O the Compassionate and Merciful Allah! Hasten the glorious Appearance of Mahdi of Fatemah (SBUT) which shall be followed by the coming of 'Eisa of Maryam- Jesus of Mary- (SBUT); a beautiful and blessed time when Truth shall become manifest and all abnormalities, diseases, poverty, injustice and ... will be things of the past ... *Aameen* ...

Chapter 2 Relationships

Part 1

A souvenir of the so-called today's civilization is the open relationships between men and women; using an example, we wish to illustrate the fact that the way which Islam looks at this issue, is actually the most logical and civilized way:

Let us consider, a thief who disregards the "right to ownership" of the owner of a house and enters it, without his permission; obviously, the thief will not be respected and he shall even be punished for transgressing the limits. Now, let us consider a well-mannered person who is invited to a house and he enters the house politely at the specified time and even dressed suitably for the occasion; surely, he shall be kindly greeted, respected and honored.

Both persons in our example, did the same thing; they both entered a house. In another word, the result of two actions, was the same; the result was entering a house. But one person respected the rights and feelings of the owner and therefore, he was respected and accepted; while the other person, totally disregarded the rights and feelings of the owner and therefore, he was not only not accepted but also punished.

Our beloved first Imam, the Amir-Ol-Mo'menin (PBUH), in an everlasting celestial supplication in the Masjid of Kufah -where he, the light of Allah, was later martyred by that blind hearted Shaqi (vicious,...)- addressed Allah in this manner:

... O' my Mawla, my Lord! You are the Lord and Owner, and I am the owned (by You); who bestows Mercy upon he who is owned, but the Owner and Lord? ...

(Mafaatih-Ol-Jenaan, ...)

While we feel that we and our lives belong to us, but we should never forget that firstly, we belong to God, our Creator; Allah has bestowed the gift of life upon us and He can take it away, if and when He wishes. Allah is the most Compassionate and the most Merciful; He has given us life and many more blessings, so that we -using Allah's gifts- may grow, approach our perfection and achieve everlasting bliss and Salvation. The freedom of choice which has been granted to us, is not so that we may do anything we wish, rather it is so that -through Divine tests- the obedient ones and the

disobedient may be separated from one another. Yes, we are free to choose and as a mature person, we are able to do anything we decide; but we have to remember that the consequences of our choices will also be ours.

When two adults are attracted to each other and decide to have an intimate relationship, they can either have a relationship without marriage or with marriage. In both cases, the result is the same; in another word, the result is, having an intimate relationship. But the difference is, respecting or not respecting the Rights of our Lord. By reciting the special commanded sentences, we actually enter a beautiful and joyful relationship with God's permission and blessing. Just like the two people in our first example, we may either transgress the limits and therefore, be disrespected and punished by Allah, or we may observe the limits and therefore, be respected and blessed by God. God is the most loving and forgiving; why should we not respect His wishes and limits which are actually for our own benefits?!

The attraction between men and women is quite normal, and a blessed relationship, very peaceful. As Qur'an in Surah Roome, verse 21, indicates, God has bestowed mercy and love between men and women; a benevolent, responsible, pleasant and practical love which nurtures generations of humankind:

Qur'an 30:21 And among His (Allah's) Signs is that He created for you mates from among yourselves, that ye may dwell in tranquility with them, and He (Allah) has put love and compassion between you; verily in that are Signs for those who ponder.

The choice is ours; to obey God and His chosen-ones or not to obey!

In another example, we can pray any time we want and in any manner we wish; in fact, Allah knows our wishes even before our wishes are entered into our hearts. But when we —in addition to our regular talks with Allah-freely choose to stand before Allah at the times and with the conditions He has specified through His chosen-ones, for our daily obligatory prayers, we are actually responding to His special invitation and we are establishing a true relationship with Him. When we perform our obligatory prayers (Salaat) on time, the doors of heavens are opened and blessings are poured all over the guests of God; prayers go up and Angels come down. If we freely say yes to our Lord's invitations, then we shall be respected, honored, accepted and blessed. The key for all celestial blessings is Obedience. Remember,

Qur'an only commands us to perform the Salaat, it is Mohammad and his progeny (PBUT) who have taught us the details of different Salaats. Qur'an with Mohammad and his progeny, at its side, is the heavenly instruction for everlasting Salvation.

The Prophet Mohammad (PBUH & HP) specified the Halaal (the lawful) and the Haraam (the unlawful) of God during the 23 years of his Prophethood and in his last public Sermon -The Sermon of Ghadir- he firmly confirmed the inseparability of Qur'an and the Ahl-Ol-Bayt, as the holders of the Knowledge, asked humankind to pledge their loyalty and allegiance and also reminded human that the Halaal and Haraam, would be Halaal and Haraam until Qiamat (the Resurrection).

O' Mahdi! You are the living manifestation of Qur'an today; unfortunately for human, humankind has not yet realized how precious you are; Only if humankind honestly, observes all the confusions and hardships caused from human's separation from Allah, then humankind will sincerely and humbly, beg and implore God to hasten your Appearance...

Part 2 Ma'roof and Monkar

We are living in a colorful world where every day some so-called new behaviors are surfacing and adopted -while many of these behaviors are not even so new- but any way, they are enough to confuse any one who does not stand on solid ground.

In Islam, the term "Ma'roof" refers to those Allah-accepted acts which are known by God-obeying humans as "good"; and the term "Monkar" refers to those unaccepted acts which are known as "evil". Enjoining to Ma'roof and forbidding Monkar, are recommended, but there are conditions which must be considered; for instance, those who enjoin and forbid, must recognize and perform Ma'roof and Monkar themselves, must be kind and convincing, have to make sure that the grounds for it are favorable and

In order for every one of us not to deviate from the Right Path to everlasting Salvation, a very significant and first point to consider is, the recognition of Ma'roof and Monkar; "Goodness" and "Evil" are not necessarily those acts which are known as good and bad, in our societies. As time passes, different societies and cultures may adopt certain behaviours as acceptable, and after a while, such behaviours may be considered quite normal. For instance, if some day having an intimate relationship between a man and a woman, was only accepted in marriage, but today in many societies, actually, having an intimate relationship outside marriage, is considered quite normal, and it is even argued that two adults have every right to decide for their own lives; and, some societies have even gone further and said that, the two adults could be either a male and a female, or two males, or two females!!! As you know certain societies- which their number is sadly growing- have even declared the marriage between two males or two females, as lawful! In these types of societies, such behaviors are justified and as time passes, they become more and more acceptable. Unfortunately, young people growing up in these societies -if not guided properly- may learn to believe that such behaviours are normal and part of every day life!

Some times, the justifications used, are quite deceiving; for instance they claim that, in the same manner that having prejudice against black people is wrong, having prejudice against these types of behaviours is also wrong! While Islam considers blacks and whites absolutely equal, but it most

certainly condemns those types of behaviours, and promises severe Punishment; also, it is noteworthy to mention that such behaviors are not new, Qur'an clearly talks about such sinners and their destruction (Qur'an, Surah Hejr, verses 57 to 75;...).

It is obvious that, there must be a set standard -based on the Will of Allah, the Creator of every thing- which the Believers (Mo'menoon) can follow for the recognition of the Ma'roof and Monkar. It is so beautiful and heart-assuring that the Divine standard for Ma'roof and Monkar actually sets the Believers' Path toward a healthy and peaceful life in this world, and also to an eternal Salvation, and it prevents Believers from falling into the traps of Shaitaan (Satan), into the traps of evil justifications in the names of freedom, human rights and.... In another word, the Divine standard for "Ma'roof and Monkar" keeps Believers in the safe and real boundaries of the True Goodness, instead of leaving them wandering in the broken boundaries of Darkness. The lightful Path towards the everlasting Salvation is defined by the most Compassionate Allah, based on Goodness and not, based on Darkness. Perhaps now we can have a profounder comprehension of the celestial statement which we recite in the "Pilgrimage Prayer of Imam Mahdi (PBUH)", the "Ziyaarat of Aale-Yaa-Syn":

... And Ma'roof is what you command to, and Monkar is what you forbid from....

(Behaar al-Anwaar,...)

Allah's chosen-ones deliver to us the Will of Allah. If we truly love God from the bottom of our hearts, and if we wish to know His Wishes, and if we are after a detailed description of Ma'roof and Monkar, and if it is important for us to please Allah because we love Him so much, and if we believe that the words of Mohammad and his progeny (PBUT) are the manifestation of the ever-perfect Word of Allah, then we confess with our souls and hearts: Ma'roof is what you command to, and Monkar is what you forbid from.

Here, we wish to narrate a meaningful Hadith from our beloved Prophet Mohammad (PBUH & HP), which is a red alert for all of us:

... When you will not enjoin to Ma'roof, and not forbid Monkar, ... and worse than that, when you will enjoin to Monkar, and forbid Ma'roof, ... and

worse than that, when you shall consider Ma'roof as Monkar, and Monkar as Ma'roof....

(Book of Kaafi, ...)

The Prophet (PBUH & HP) in this Hadith and many Hadithes like it, has prophesied that even some in his nation will deviate from the Right Path in this manner. Let us be very careful, and never forget that the Prophet Mohammad (PBUH & HP) has also in many occasions such as Ghadir, repeatedly emphasized on the vital fact -which the survival of the True Islam depends upon- that his Halaal (Divinely designated lawful), and his Haraam (unlawful), are Halaal and Haraam until Qiyaamat (Resurrection).

O' our loving Mahdi! We are -with broken hearts- observing many sad examples from all three cases which your most beloved Ancestor, the Prophet Mohammad (PBUH & HP), warned about over 1400 years ago; if your wonderful Appearance does not happen sooner, more Monkar will be presented as Ma'roof, and more Ma'roof as Monkar; as your forefather, the Saadeq of Mohammad's progeny (PBUT), has exhorted us, it is upon us to implore Allah to hasten your magnificent Appearance; may Allah bless us with sincere moments of supplications and invocations, but we have a humble request from you, our beloved lord, too: Please, pray for your Appearance!